AddRan College Festival
2018 Competition
Creative Writing Presentation Judging Form

Presenter _____

Judge _____

The following areas should be assessed for each oral presentation: organization, presentation, and content/voice. Specific evaluations will be kept private.

Total points = _____ **+** _____ **+** _____   **=** _____

                    Org.   **Pres**   **Content/Voice x3**   **Total**

Comments:
—15 minutes are allowed for each presentation.
—Presenters should bring materials for audience viewing on a USB drive.

1. Organization

Excellent 5
Presentation content is structured logically and therefore easy to understand and follow
Presentation meets but does not exceed time limit and presenter uses all time well
Presentation responses to Q&A are clear, detailed, and show active engagement with the material

Good 4
Presentation's beginning, middle, and end are distinguishable
Presentation content is structured in an understandable manner
Presentation is neither significantly over or under the time limit and presenter uses time relatively well
Presentation responses to Q&A are clear and show engagement with the material

Limited 3
Presentation content is organized in a manner that allows for some confusion
Presentation is noticeably over or under the time limit
Presentation responses to Q&A are relatively clear and show some engagement with the material

Poor 2
Presentation content is disorganized
Presentation fails to respect time limit
Presentation responses to Q&A are not clear

2. Presentation

Excellent 5
Presenter speaks clearly throughout reading
Presenter pace allows listeners to <u>easily</u> understand material
Presenter volume and projection <u>wholly</u> appropriate for conveying emphasis

Good 4
Presenter speaks clearly through <u>majority</u> of reading

Presenter pace allows listeners to understand material
Presenter volume and projection appropriate for conveying emphasis
Limited 3
Presenter speaks relatively clearly
Presenter pace does not significantly distract audience or hinder understanding of the material
Presenter volume and projection not appropriate for conveying emphasis

Poor 2
Presenter does not consistently speak clearly
Presenter pace significantly distracts audience and hinders understanding of the material
Presenter volume and projection fails to emphasize

3. Content/Voice—Weight this category more heavily; multiply this score by 3

Excellent 5
Use of language/voice is unique, fresh and vibrant throughout
Scope of materials is ambitious, nuanced, and expansive
A <u>significant level</u> of complex thought is evidenced in the project

Good 4
Use of language/voice is <u>often</u> fresh and vibrant
Scope of materials is relatively ambitious
<u>Notable complex thought</u> is evidenced in the project

Limited 3
Use of language is clear but familiar
Scope of materials suggests opportunities for ambition
<u>Relatively complex thought</u> is evidenced in the project

Poor 2
Use of language is formulaic and reliant on cliché
Scope of materials is not well defined
Complex thought is not evidenced in the project