

AddRan Festival 2018
Oral Presentation Judging Form

Presenter _____
Judge _____

The following areas should be assessed for each oral presentation: organization, presentation, content, and knowledge of subject. Specific evaluations will be kept private.

Total points = $\frac{\quad}{\text{Org.}}$ + $\frac{\quad}{\text{Pres}}$ + $\frac{\quad}{\text{Content x2}}$ + $\frac{\quad}{\text{Knowledge}}$ = $\frac{\quad}{\text{Total}}$

Comments:

- 15 minutes are allowed for each presentation.
- Presenters should tailor presentations to a TED Talk style, inclusive of the criteria below.
- Presenters should bring materials for audience viewing on a USB drive.

Rank in Session: Best (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

1. Organization

Excellent 5

- Presentation's organization is compelling, interesting, and/or thought provoking
- Presentation has a clear and logical beginning, middle, and end
- Presentation content is structured logically and therefore easy to understand and follow
- Presentation meets but does not exceed time limit and presenter uses all time well

Good 4

- Presentation's beginning, middle, and end are distinguishable
- Presentation content is structured in an understandable manner
- Presentation is neither significantly over or under the time limit and presenter uses time relatively well

Limited 3

- Presentation's beginning, middle, and end are somewhat vague or unclear
- Presentation content is organized in a manner that allows for some confusion
- Presentation is noticeably over or under the time limit

Poor 2

- Presentation's beginning, middle, and end are not well planned
- Presentation content is disorganized
- Presentation fails to respect time limit

2. Presentation

Excellent 5

- Presenter speaks clearly and at a pace that easily allows listeners to understand material
- Presenter uses eye contact and gestures in ways that substantively add to the presentation
- All supplementary materials used are needed and substantively add to the presentation

Good 4

- Presenter speaks clearly and at a pace that allows listeners to understand material
- Presenter uses eye contact and gestures in ways that add to the presentation
- All supplementary materials used are needed and add to the presentation

Limited 3

Presenter speaks relatively clearly and at a pace that does not significantly distract audience or hinder understanding of the material

Presenter uses eye content and gestures in ways that begin to detract from the presentation OR rarely uses eye contact or gestures

Supplementary materials do not significantly add to the presentation

Poor 2

Presenter does not consistently speak clearly or at a pace that allows listeners to understand his/her presentation

Presenter uses eye content and gestures in ways that detract from the presentation OR fails to use eye contact or gestures

Supplementary materials do not add to the presentation

3. Content—Weight this category more heavily; multiply this score by 2**Excellent 5**

Significance of the project is persuasively argued and clarified

Approach and interpretation of material as well as conclusions are sound and clear

Scope of project is logically defined

A significant level of complex thought is evidenced in the project

Good 4

Approach and interpretation of material as well as conclusions are relatively sound and clear

Scope of project is defined reasonably

Notable complex thought is evidenced in the project

Limited 3

Approach and interpretation of material as well as conclusions are not always sound or clear

Scope of project is defined in a limiting or overly diffuse manner

Relatively complex thought is evidenced in the project

Poor 2

Approach and interpretation of the material as well as conclusions are not sound or clear

Scope of project is not well defined

Complex thought is not evidenced in the project

4. Knowledge of Subject**Excellent 5**

Presentation is confidently (even enthusiastically) offered and ability to add extemporaneously is evident

Responses to Q&A are clear, detailed, and show active engagement with the material

Good 4

Presentation is confidently offered and ability to add extemporaneously is sometimes evident

Responses to Q&A are clear and show engagement with the material

Limited 3

Presentation is clearly offered though ability to add extemporaneously is not evident

Responses to Q&A are relatively clear and show some engagement with the material

Poor 2

Presentation suggests uncertainty about the materials

Responses to Q&A are not clear